

Griffith City Council

SPECIAL SCHEDULES
for the year ended 30 June 2022



Griffith City Council

Special Schedules

for the year ended 30 June 2022

Contents

Page

Special Schedules:

Permissible income for general rates 3

Report on infrastructure assets as at 30 June 2022 7

Griffith City Council

Permissible income for general rates

\$ '000	Notes	Calculation 2021/22	Calculation 2022/23
Notional general income calculation ¹			
Last year notional general income yield	a	17,945	18,386
Plus or minus adjustments ²	b	66	151
Notional general income	c = a + b	18,011	18,537
Permissible income calculation			
Or rate peg percentage	e	2.00%	2.00%
Or plus rate peg amount	i = e x (c + g)	359	371
Sub-total	k = (c + g + h + i + j)	18,370	18,908
Plus (or minus) last year's carry forward total	l	14	(2)
Sub-total	n = (l + m)	14	(2)
Total permissible income	o = k + n	18,384	18,906
Less notional general income yield	p	18,386	18,890
Catch-up or (excess) result	q = o - p	(2)	16
Carry forward to next year ⁶	t = q + r + s	(2)	16

Notes

- (1) The notional general income will not reconcile with rate income in the financial statements in the corresponding year. The statements are reported on an accrual accounting basis which include amounts that relate to prior years' rates income.
- (2) Adjustments account for changes in the number of assessments and any increase or decrease in land value occurring during the year. The adjustments are called 'supplementary valuations' as defined in the *Valuation of Land Act 1916 (NSW)*.
- (6) Carry-forward amounts which are in excess (an amount that exceeds the permissible income) require Ministerial approval by order published in the *NSW Government Gazette* in accordance with section 512 of the Act. The OLG will extract these amounts from Council's Permissible income for general rates Statement in the financial data return (FDR) to administer this process.



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Special Schedule – Permissible income for general rates

Griffith City Council

To the Councillors of Griffith City Council

Opinion

I have audited the accompanying Special Schedule – Permissible income for general rates (the Schedule) of Griffith City Council (the Council) for the year ending 30 June 2023.

In my opinion, the Schedule is prepared, in all material respects in accordance with the requirements of the Local Government Code of Accounting Practice and Financial Reporting 2021–22 (LG Code) and is in accordance with the books and records of the Council.

My opinion should be read in conjunction with the rest of this report.

Basis for Opinion

I conducted my audit in accordance with Australian Auditing Standards. My responsibilities under the standards are described in the 'Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Schedule' section of my report.

I am independent of the Council in accordance with the requirements of the:

- Australian Auditing Standards
- Accounting Professional and Ethical Standards Board's APES 110 'Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (including Independence Standards)' (APES 110).

I have fulfilled my other ethical responsibilities in accordance with APES 110.

Parliament promotes independence by ensuring the Auditor-General and the Audit Office of New South Wales are not compromised in their roles by:

- providing that only Parliament, and not the executive government, can remove an Auditor-General
- mandating the Auditor-General as auditor of councils
- precluding the Auditor-General from providing non-audit services.

I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my audit opinion.

Emphasis of Matter - Basis of Accounting

Without modifying my opinion, I draw attention to the special purpose framework used to prepare the Schedule. The Schedule has been prepared for the purpose of fulfilling the Council's reporting obligations under the LG Code. As a result, the Schedule may not be suitable for another purpose.

Other Information

The Council's annual report for the year ended 30 June 2022 includes other information in addition to the Schedule and my Independent Auditor's Report thereon. The Councillors are responsible for the other information. At the date of this Independent Auditor's Report, the other information I have received comprise the general purpose financial statements, special purpose financial statements and Special Schedule 'Report on infrastructure assets as at 30 June 2022'.

My opinion on the Schedule does not cover the other information. Accordingly, I do not express any form of assurance conclusion on the other information. However, as required by the *Local Government Act 1993*, I have separately expressed an opinion on the general purpose financial statements and the special purpose financial statements.

In connection with my audit of the Schedule, my responsibility is to read the other information and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the Schedule or my knowledge obtained in the audit, or otherwise appears to be materially misstated.

If, based on the work I have performed, I conclude there is a material misstatement of the other information, I must report that fact.

I have nothing to report in this regard.

The Councillors' Responsibilities for the Schedule

The Councillors are responsible for the preparation of the Schedule in accordance with the LG Code. The Councillors' responsibility also includes such internal control as the Councillors determine is necessary to enable the preparation of the Schedule that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the Schedule, the Councillors are responsible for assessing the Council's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Schedule

My objectives are to:

- obtain reasonable assurance whether the Schedule as a whole is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error
- issue an Independent Auditor's Report including my opinion.

Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but does not guarantee an audit conducted in accordance with Australian Auditing Standards will always detect material misstatements. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error. Misstatements are considered material if, individually or in aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions users take based on the Schedule.

A description of my responsibilities for the audit of the Schedule is located at the Auditing and Assurance Standards Board website at: www.auasb.gov.au/auditors_responsibilities/ar8.pdf. The description forms part of my auditor's report.

The scope of my audit does not include, nor provide assurance:

- that the Council carried out its activities effectively, efficiently and economically
- about the security and controls over the electronic publication of the audited Schedule on any website where it may be presented
- about any other information which may have been hyperlinked to/from the Schedule.



Hong Wee Soh
Delegate of the Auditor-General for New South Wales

22 December 2022
SYDNEY

Griffith City Council

Report on infrastructure assets as at 30 June 2022

Asset Class	Asset Category	Estimated cost				Net carrying amount \$ '000	Gross replacement cost (GRC) \$ '000	Assets in condition as a percentage of gross replacement cost				
		Estimated cost to bring assets to satisfactory standard \$ '000	Estimated cost to bring to the agreed level of service set by Council \$ '000	2021/22 Required maintenance ^a \$ '000	2021/22 Actual maintenance \$ '000			1	2	3	4	5
Buildings	Buildings	9	9	250	480	84,283	105,397	30.0%	20.1%	49.8%	0.1%	0.0%
	Sub-total	9	9	250	480	84,283	105,397	30.0%	20.1%	49.8%	0.1%	0.0%
Other structures	Other structures	–	376	50	75	18,458	22,402	58.4%	7.8%	26.0%	6.5%	1.3%
	Sub-total	–	376	50	75	18,458	22,402	58.4%	7.8%	26.0%	6.5%	1.3%
Roads	Sealed roads	–	–	3,150	3,000	144,596	160,151	71.0%	27.1%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
	Unsealed Roads	–	–	1,525	1,100	108,257	117,097	99.7%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Bridges	–	–	1	1	3,951	5,419	3.7%	74.5%	21.8%	0.0%	0.0%
	Footpaths	674	674	20	25	10,185	16,593	16.9%	34.0%	28.3%	20.4%	0.4%
	Other road assets	192	192	150	75	45,960	64,169	21.8%	48.5%	28.2%	1.5%	0.0%
	Sub-total	866	866	4,846	4,201	312,949	363,429	68.1%	23.3%	7.4%	1.2%	0.0%
Water supply network	Water supply network	2,718	2,718	1,100	1,500	150,673	209,109	33.7%	52.6%	8.0%	4.6%	1.1%
	Sub-total	2,718	2,718	1,100	1,500	150,673	209,109	33.7%	52.6%	8.0%	4.6%	1.1%
Sewerage network	Sewerage network	5,434	5,434	630	700	117,246	177,723	25.2%	42.7%	19.4%	9.4%	3.3%
	Sub-total	5,434	5,434	630	700	117,246	177,723	25.2%	42.7%	19.4%	9.4%	3.3%
Stormwater drainage	Stormwater drainage	828	828	130	110	59,506	82,486	32.8%	12.0%	50.1%	5.1%	0.0%
	Sub-total	828	828	130	110	59,506	82,486	32.8%	12.0%	50.1%	5.1%	0.0%
Open space / recreational assets	Open space/recreational assets	809	809	150	125	17,741	24,675	24.6%	20.6%	36.1%	18.4%	0.3%
	Sub-total	809	809	150	125	17,741	24,675	24.6%	20.6%	36.1%	18.4%	0.3%
Total – all assets		10,664	11,040	7,156	7,191	760,856	985,221	44.7%	31.3%	19.0%	4.2%	0.9%

(a) Required maintenance is the amount identified in Council's asset management plans.

Infrastructure asset condition assessment 'key'

#	Condition	Integrated planning and reporting (IP&R) description
1	Excellent/very good	No work required (normal maintenance)
2	Good	Only minor maintenance work required

Griffith City Council

Report on infrastructure assets as at 30 June 2022 (continued)

3	Satisfactory	Maintenance work required
4	Poor	Renewal required
5	Very poor	Urgent renewal/upgrading required

Griffith City Council

Report on infrastructure assets as at 30 June 2022

Infrastructure asset performance indicators (consolidated) *

\$ '000	Amounts 2022	Indicator 2022	Indicators		Benchmark
			2021	2020	
Buildings and infrastructure renewals ratio					
Asset renewals ¹	30,297	243.56%	120.53%	94.39%	>= 100.00%
Depreciation, amortisation and impairment	12,439				
Infrastructure backlog ratio					
Estimated cost to bring assets to a satisfactory standard	10,664	1.33%	1.47%	1.51%	< 2.00%
Net carrying amount of infrastructure assets	800,305				
Asset maintenance ratio					
Actual asset maintenance	7,191	100.49%	100.49%	100.49%	> 100.00%
Required asset maintenance	7,156				
Cost to bring assets to agreed service level					
Estimated cost to bring assets to an agreed service level set by Council	11,040	1.12%	1.18%	1.21%	
Gross replacement cost	985,221				

(*) All asset performance indicators are calculated using classes identified in the previous table.

(1) Asset renewals represent the replacement and/or refurbishment of existing assets to an equivalent capacity/performance as opposed to the acquisition of new assets (or the refurbishment of old assets) that increases capacity/performance.

Griffith City Council

Report on infrastructure assets as at 30 June 2022

Buildings and infrastructure renewals ratio

	Buildings and infrastructure renewals ratio	Commentary on result
		21/22 ratio 243.56%
	To assess the rate at which these assets are being renewed relative to the rate at which they are depreciating.	Council has achieved a satisfactory ratio for renewing its buildings and infrastructure. Council has a high proportion of its assets in good to satisfactory condition as support for maintaining this ratio.

Benchmark: — $\geq 100.00\%$

■ Ratio achieves benchmark

Source of benchmark: Code of Accounting Practice and Financial Reporting

■ Ratio is outside benchmark

Asset maintenance ratio

	Asset maintenance ratio	Commentary on result
		21/22 ratio 100.49%
	Compares actual vs. required annual asset maintenance. A ratio above 1.0 indicates Council is investing enough funds to stop the infrastructure backlog growing.	Council continues to invest in maintaining its assets to ensure an infrastructure backlog does not occur.

Benchmark: — $> 100.00\%$

■ Ratio achieves benchmark

Source of benchmark: Code of Accounting Practice and Financial Reporting

■ Ratio is outside benchmark

Infrastructure backlog ratio

	Infrastructure backlog ratio	Commentary on result
		21/22 ratio 1.33%
	This ratio shows what proportion the backlog is against the total value of a Council's infrastructure.	This ratio has remained stable for the past 4 years and demonstrates that Council is committed to renewing and maintaining assets to a satisfactory standard.

Benchmark: — $< 2.00\%$

■ Ratio achieves benchmark

Source of benchmark: Code of Accounting Practice and Financial Reporting

■ Ratio is outside benchmark

Cost to bring assets to agreed service level

	Cost to bring assets to agreed service level	Commentary on result
		21/22 ratio 1.12%
	This ratio provides a snapshot of the proportion of outstanding renewal works compared to the total value of assets under Council's care and stewardship.	Council has maintained a low ratio by maintaining its assets at an acceptable level over the last several years.

Griffith City Council

Report on infrastructure assets as at 30 June 2022

Infrastructure asset performance indicators (by fund)

\$ '000	General fund		Water fund		Sewer fund		Benchmark
	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021	
Buildings and infrastructure renewals ratio							
Asset renewals ¹							
Depreciation, amortisation and impairment	365.38%	149.15%	0.00%	106.77%	0.00%	30.09%	>= 100.00%
Infrastructure backlog ratio							
Estimated cost to bring assets to a satisfactory standard							
Net carrying amount of infrastructure assets	0.48%	0.60%	1.78%	1.79%	4.57%	4.74%	< 2.00%
Asset maintenance ratio							
Actual asset maintenance							
Required asset maintenance	91.98%	91.98%	136.36%	136.36%	111.11%	111.11%	> 100.00%
Cost to bring assets to agreed service level							
Estimated cost to bring assets to an agreed service level set by Council							
Gross replacement cost	0.49%	0.52%	1.28%	1.33%	3.03%	3.20%	

(1) Asset renewals represent the replacement and/or refurbishment of existing assets to an equivalent capacity/performance as opposed to the acquisition of new assets (or the refurbishment of old assets) that increases capacity/performance.